Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/752,046	SCHIER, JOHN ELMORE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	
(2) <u>Brad Williams</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		:
Claims discussed: 1-9,20-24		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
······································		
Oll 5/30/06		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noticed that claims 1-9 and 20-24 had been withdrawn from consideration in response a restriction, however the claims needed to be cancelled since the application was in conditions for allowance. The applicant's respresentative agreed to an examiner's amendment to cancel the withdrawn claims.